

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/849,032	05/04/2001	Brian D. Rump	BR101	8450
75	590 10/15/2003		EXAMINER	
William E. Noonan			THOMPSON, HUGH B	
Post Office Box Fort Myers, FL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2 3.0.0.2, 4.2. 667.27			3634	
			DATE MAILED: 10/15/2003	DATE MAILED: 10/15/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

81

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/849,032	RUMP, BRIAN D.	
Examin r	Art Unit	
Hugh B. Thompson	3634	

--Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sh et with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 25 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

	or allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued on (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) 🛛 T	e period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
e e	e period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no ent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. JLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 6.07(f).
have been file 37 CFR 1.17 (b) above, if of	as of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee d is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in necked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	otice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in FR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The	proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) 🗌	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) 🗌	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) 🗌	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) 🗌	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
3.☐ App	icant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	ly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment celing the non-allowable claim(s).
	a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the lication in condition for allowance because:
	affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly ed by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	ourposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an an anation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The	status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Cla	status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: m(s) allowed:
Cla	m(s) objected to: DANIEL P. STODOLA
Cla	m(s) rejected: SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
Cla	m(s) withdrawn from consideration: TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600
8. The	proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Not	the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.⊠ Oth	er: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>



Continuation of 10. Other: The amendmentof 9-25-03 is improper in format. The status of "all" claims need to be addressed in the response. Note that claims 1-9 have not been addressed..